OZONE DEPLETION AND CLIMATE CHANGE
Constructing a Global Response
Matthew J. Hoffmann

Matthew J. Hoffmann explores the fundamental question of who should participate in the global response to ozone depletion and climate change. Blending social constructivist theory with insights from the study of complex adaptive systems, Hoffmann develops a unique framework for understanding the emergence and evolution of participation norms, which define the appropriate global response and shape how states have perceived the problems, defined their interests and strategies, and pursued governance. The explanation is rigorously developed through an innovative combination of formal analysis and in-depth empirical case studies. Agent-based computer simulation modeling is employed to explore essential norm dynamics, analysis that is complemented and extended by process-tracing case studies that examine governance activities from 1986 through 2003. The result provides the understanding necessary for improving global responses to environmental problems.

“Hoffmann develops a novel theoretical framework to fill a large hole in the literature on global governance and international environmental politics. He illuminates the dynamic process in which norms emerge, change, and influence global governance and the choices of major states.” — Neil E. Harrison, author of Constructing Sustainable Development

“Ozone Depletion and Climate Change is engaging and clearly written. I was particularly impressed with Hoffmann’s ability to present his computer models in terms that are totally accessible to nonspecialists. He has produced an exceptional book that I anticipate will be popular in a variety of international relations courses.” — Audie Klotz, author of Norms in International Relations: The Struggle against Apartheid

Matthew J. Hoffmann is Assistant Professor of International Relations at the University of Delaware.

A volume in the SUNY series in Global Politics
James N. Rosenau, editor

AUGUST
288 pp
2 tables, 7 figures
$24.95 pb 0-7914-6526-8
$81.50 hc 0-7914-6525-X

STATE CONSTITUTIONS FOR THE TWENTY-FIRST CENTURY, VOLUME 1
The Politics of State Constitutional Reform
G. Alan Tarr and Robert F. Williams, editors

The first systematic analysis of the obstacles to state constitutional reform.

Through illuminating case studies of reform efforts in Alabama, California, Colorado, Florida, New York, and Virginia, this book—the first of three volumes—provides the first systematic analysis of the political obstacles to state constitutional reform. For those seeking constitutional reform, this useful resource can spell the difference between success and failure, and for those interested in state politics or constitutional politics, it offers rare insight into a distinctive aspect of American constitutionalism. Written by eminent scholars who were, in many cases, also active participants in the reform campaign, the essays provide practical experience, expert analysis, and lessons for future constitutional reformers.

“The distinguished contributors to State Constitutions for the Twenty-first Century have performed a valuable service for scholars and constitutional reformers alike, by explaining why state constitutional reform has become so difficult to achieve and also why it has occasionally succeeded, even in the face of significant obstacles.” — John J. Dinan, author of Keeping the People’s Liberties: Legislators, Citizens, and Judges as Guardians of Rights

G. Alan Tarr is Distinguished Professor and Chair of the Department of Political Science and Director of the Center for State Constitutional Studies at Rutgers University at Camden. He is the author of Understanding State Constitutions. Robert F. Williams is Distinguished Professor of Law at Rutgers University School of Law at Camden. He is the author of State Constitutional Law: Cases and Materials, Third Edition.

A volume in the SUNY series in American Constitutionalism
Robert J. Spitzer, editor

NOVEMBER
224 pp
7 tables, 1 figure
$40.00 hc only 0-7914-6613-2
RETHINKING ISLAM AND LIBERAL DEMOCRACY

Islamist Women in Turkish Politics
Yeşim Arat

Examines the experiences of women activists of the Islamist Refah (Welfare) party in Turkey.

In Turkey, no secular party has approximated the high levels of membership and intense activism of women within the Islamist Refah (Welfare) Party. Rethinking Islam and Liberal Democracy examines the experiences of these women, who represented an unprecedented phenomenon within Turkish politics. Using in-depth interviews, Yeşim Arat reveals how the women of the party broadened the parameters of democratic participation and challenged preconceived notions of what Islam can entail in a secular democratic polity. The women of the party successfully mobilized large groups of allegedly apolitical women by crossing the boundaries between the social and the political, reaching them through personal networks cultivated in private spaces. The experiences of these women show the contentious relationship between liberal democracy and Islam, where liberalism that prioritizes the individual can transform, coexist, or remain in tension with Islam that prioritizes a communal identity legitimized by a sacred God.

“Rethinking Islam and Liberal Democracy is historically grounded, well researched, and smoothly argued. It is a welcome addition to the growing literature that goes beyond dichotomies of secular and religious women’s activism and attempts to see how these currents cross-fertilize each other in multiple ways. I highly recommend it.” — Afshin Najmabadi, author of Women with Mustaches and Men without Beards: Gender and Sexual Anxieties of Iranian Modernity

Yeşim Arat is Professor of Political Science and International Relations at Bogaziçi University, Istanbul. She is the author of The Patriarchal Paradox: Women Politicians in Turkey and the coeditor (with Barbara Laslett and Johanna Brenner) of Rethinking the Political: Gender, Resistance, and the State.

JUNE
192 pp
$55.00 hc only 0-7914-6465-2

THE FINAL ARBITER
The Consequences of Bush v. Gore for Law and Politics
Christopher P. Banks, David B. Cohen, and John C. Green, editors

Combines perspectives from law and the social sciences to assess the long-term impact of the 2000 presidential election.

The resolution of the 2000 presidential election by the U.S. Supreme Court’s Bush v. Gore decision generated an extraordinary outpouring of literature in a very short period of time. Now that the initial furor over the decision has subsided, The Final Arbiter presents a sober consideration of the consequences of the decision for the law, the presidency, and the legitimacy of the American political system. The contributors include well-established names in law and political science, as well as up-and-coming scholars, offering a broad understanding of Bush v. Gore’s long-term impact. This book will be useful as a classroom text in both survey courses on elections and the courts and for advanced courses that consider the impact of judicial rulings on the government and political process.

“The breadth of The Final Arbiter sets it apart from other treatments of Bush v. Gore. If one were to teach a seminar on the 2000 election, this would be the one essential text for the Florida events and their aftermath.” — Charles E. Walcott, coauthor of Empowering the White House: Governance under Nixon, Ford, and Carter

At the University of Akron, Christopher P. Banks is Associate Professor of Political Science and author of Judicial Politics in the D.C. Circuit Court; David B. Cohen is Assistant Professor of Political Science and coeditor (with John W. Wells) of American National Security and Civil Liberties in an Era of Terrorism; and John C. Green is Distinguished Professor of Political Science and the editor of numerous books, including The Politics of Ideas: Intellectual Challenges Facing the American Political Parties (coedited with John Kenneth White), also published by SUNY Press.

A volume in the SUNY series in American Constitutionalism
Robert J. Spitzer, editor

SEPTEMBER
304 pp
12 tables
$75.00 hc only 0-7914-6535-7
Examines the politics of transnational water resource management through case studies of the Aral Sea basin and the Danube, Euphrates, and Mekong river basins.

As the governance of transboundary rivers becomes a subject of growing importance due to the increasing pressure on freshwater resources, this timely collection examines water scarcity and efforts to better manage rivers and river basins. Most specialists agree that states face many institutional inadequacies when dealing with shared resources and that new governance mechanisms are needed to improve water management. Using case studies of the Aral Sea basin and the Danube, Euphrates, and Mekong river basins, the contributors develop a new approach to water governance: the concept of multi-governance, an effort to collectively solve public problems by involving a series of relevant actors from the local to the global level, such as institutions, states, civil society, and business.

Matthias Finger is Professor of Network Industries at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology. Ludivine Tamiotti is Legal Affairs Officer in the Trade and Environment Division of the World Trade Organization. Jeremy Allouche is Director of the Water Institutions and Management Competence Centre at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology. Finger and Allouche are coauthors of Water Privatisation: Trans-National Corporations and the Re-Regulation of the Water Industry.

A volume in the SUNY series in Global Politics

James N. Rosenau, editor

NOVEMBER
224 pp
1 map, 10 tables, 18 figures
$60.00 hc only 0-7914-6605-1

IN THE PUBLIC DOMAIN

Explodes how recent presidents have managed communications with the American public.

The “public presidency”—how presidents rely on the mass media, public opinion, and various communication strategies—has become an increasingly important aspect of presidential governance and leadership during the past two decades. In the Public Domain gathers together noted presidency and communication scholars to explore the relationship between the president and the American public, the current state of the “public presidency,” and the challenges that recent presidents have faced in developing an effective means of communicating and maintaining a strong presidential image. Specific topics include: how presidents use public leadership to pursue their policy goals and objectives; the importance of public opinion, rhetorical strategies, and public activities; external factors such as party politics and news media coverage; the cultivation of presidential legacy; and access to documents in presidential libraries.

“This fine collection makes an important contribution to the overlapping intellectual fields of media studies and presidency studies.” — Robert J. Spitzer, author of The Presidential Veto: Touchstone of the American Presidency

Lori Cox Han is Associate Professor of Political Science at Austin College and the author of Governing from Center Stage: White House Communication Strategies during the Television Age of Politics. Diane J. Heith is Assistant Professor of Political Science at St. John’s University and the author of Polling to Govern: Public Opinion and Presidential Leadership.

A volume in the SUNY series on the Presidency: Contemporary Issues

John Kenneth White, editor

OCTOBER
336 pp
22 tables, 10 figures
$75.00 hc only 0-7914-6575-6
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BUILDING TRUST
Overcoming Suspicion in International Conflict
Aaron M. Hoffman

Challenges conventional assumptions about how international rivals form trusting relationships.

How is trust built in international politics? In this book, Aaron M. Hoffman argues that conventional arguments fail to account for two factors governments fear and wish to protect themselves from: domination by outside parties and political competition from internal parties. He argues that trusting relationships emerge in response to agreements that insulate governments from these worst-case scenarios by guaranteeing them voice in collective decisions and offering them concessions designed to mollify potential internal opposition. Using case studies that explore the formation of the United States, the development of the European Community, and negotiations over water resources in the Middle East, Hoffman shows that trusting relationships can only be built with the development of institutional mechanisms designed to reduce the consequences of betrayal.

“The topic is central to our understanding of international relations in general. It offers an alternative approach toward understanding the conditions under which competing political actors can overcome mistrust. The choice of case studies is original and interesting, and the author develops the argument nicely.” — Bruce Cronin, author of Institutions for the Common Good: International Protection Regimes in International Society

Aaron M. Hoffman is Assistant Professor of Political Science at Purdue University.

A volume in the SUNY series in Global Politics
James N. Rosenau, editor

A volume in the SUNY series in Israeli Studies
Russell Stone, editor

NOVEMBER
224 pp
1 map, 4 figures
$55.00 hc only 0-7914-6635-3

PROTECTING OUR ENVIRONMENT
Lessons from the European Union
Janet R. Hunter and Zachary A. Smith

Examines how the European Union has handled environmental protection issues.

Significant changes in how the world approaches global environmental problems have occurred since the late 1970s. Countries have become increasingly aware of the “borderless” nature of environmental issues, i.e., that production and consumption in one country can spill over to affect another country’s environment. Protecting Our Environment considers the successes that have been achieved in the European Union (EU), as well as issues the Union still faces regarding the protection of the environment in the future. Authors Janet R. Hunter and Zachary A. Smith identify the factors that have allowed the EU to form a successful environmental regime, including the development of the environmental management approach and the principles upon which it is based. They examine in detail the challenges that have been encountered in the implementation of environmental programs, and the solutions that have been developed to address those challenges. Also considered is how economic development and environmental protection have been reconciled within the EU. By analyzing the successful example of the EU, Protecting Our Environment provides a model for a contemporary approach to global environmental problems.

“This book provides us with something to use as a comparison for failed US environmental policies. It can be used by virtually anyone regardless of his or her environmental understanding or knowledge of political science. Its use of concrete examples offers a better understanding of the concepts being introduced and shows how the theories are applied in real life.” — Jeffrey S. Ashley, coauthor of Negotiated Sovereignty: Working to Improve Tribal-State Relations

“Attention to the EU environmental treatment is becoming a particularly important example of regional cooperation toward sustainability, and this book adds nicely to the discussion.” — Peter J Jacques, coauthor of Ocean Politics and Policy: A Reference Handbook

Janet R. Hunter is Chair of Administrative Information Services at Northland Pioneer College. Zachary A. Smith is Regents Professor of Political Science at Northern Arizona University. He is the author or editor of many books, including The Environmental Policy Paradox, Fourth Edition.

A volume in the SUNY series in Global Environmental Policy
Udai Desai, editor

AUGUST
224 pp
8 tables, 16 figures
$65.00 hc only 0-7914-6511-X
POLITICS IN THE NEW SOUTH
Representation of African Americans in Southern State Legislatures
Charles E. Menifield and Stephen D. Shaffer, editors

Documents political advances made by African Americans in the South over the last twenty-five years.

This authoritative study of contemporary state legislatures in the South provides a fascinating account of how African Americans have achieved noticeable political power since the Voting Rights Act was passed in 1965. A history of racial discrimination and one-party Democratic dominance is being supplanted by African American empowerment in a competitive two-party system. Contributors examine the evolution of the Black Caucus, the growing number of African American lawmakers, and the rise of black legislators to important leadership positions in the legislatures of each of the southern states. Roll call data on key votes from several legislative sessions in Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, and Texas are analyzed.

“The editors have a track record of publishing very good research on southern politics and state legislatures, and this is a well-written study of the central themes of African American descriptive representation, substantive representation, and biracial coalitions.” — Sharon D. Wright Austin, author of Race, Power, and Political Emergence in Memphis

Charles E. Menifield is Associate Professor at the University of Memphis, where he teaches research methods, political statistics, budgeting and finance, and public management information systems. He is the editor of Representation of Minority Groups in the U.S.: Implications for the Twenty-first Century. Stephen D. Shaffer is Professor of Political Science at Mississippi State University and the coeditor (with Dale Krane) of Mississippi Government and Politics: Modernizers versus Traditionalists.

INTERNATIONAL REGIMES FOR THE FINAL FRONTIER
M. J. Peterson

Examines the negotiations between nations that lead to international agreements regulating human activity in outer space.

Neither rational choice theory, with its emphasis on interest calculation, nor sociological institutionalist theory, with its emphasis on identity-defined rule following, indicates how governments determine which of their multiple interests or identities are at stake in a particular situation or how they develop mutual comprehension of each other’s goals. International Regimes for the Final Frontier addresses these gaps by tracing how governments approach an unfamiliar issue—in this case, international agreements regulating human activity in outer space between 1958 and 1988—and examines three ways situation definitions channel governments’ approaches to issues or problems.

“This book is a rare find: a work that simultaneously makes contributions to the scholarly understanding of the politics of outer space and to political science. It has the signal virtue of being based on extensive archival research. The empirical material vastly surpasses the scattered and largely speculative literature on the actual negotiations on outer space regime formation.” — Daniel H. Deudney, Johns Hopkins University

M. J. Peterson is Professor of Political Science at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst and is the author of Recognition of Governments: Legal Doctrine and State Practice, 1815–1995.

A volume in the SUNY series in Global Politics
James N. Rosenau, editor

JULY
384 pp
$85.00 hc only 0-7914-6501-2
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A volume in the SUNY series in African American Studies
John R. Howard and Robert C. Smith, editors

AUGUST
320 pp
73 tables
$70.00 hc only 0-7914-6531-4
POLITICAL SCIENCE

STATES OF LIBERALIZATION
Redefining the Public Sector in Integrated Europe
Mitchell P. Smith

Explores the limits of economic liberalization within the European Union.

As economic competition is introduced into areas formerly served by public sector monopolies, to what extent do governments lose discretion over their use of the public sector? States of Liberalization examines the impact of the European Union’s rigorous single-market competition policy on the abilities of Western European governments to use the public sector to achieve political objectives. Examining several politically contentious sectors, including government purchasing of goods and services, postal services, and public sector financial institutions, Mitchell P. Smith explores and explains the scope and the limits of this transformation.

“Smith’s main point, that liberalization has to accommodate public sector interests, is an important antidote to the conventional view of the overriding and irreversible effects of globalization (or greater European integration).” — Nikolaos Zahariadis, author of Ambiguity and Choice in Public Policy: Political Decision Making in Modern Democracies

Mitchell P. Smith is Associate Professor of Political Science and International and Area Studies at The University of Oklahoma. He is the coeditor (with Thomas Banchoff) of Legitimacy and the European Union: The Contested Polity.

A volume in the SUNY series in Global Politics
James N. Rosenau, editor

SEPTEMBER
256 pp
9 tables
$60.00 hc only 0-7914-6543-8

SOCIAL MOVEMENTS AND FREE-MARKET CAPITALISM IN LATIN AMERICA
Telecommunications Privatization and the Rise of Consumer Protest
Sybil Rhodes

Explores how privatization of state-owned telephone companies led to new consumer movements in Latin America.

This innovative book examines how the privatization and deregulation of the telecommunications sectors in Chile, Argentina, and Brazil in the 1980s and 1990s provoked the rise of new consumer protest movements in Latin America. Sybil Rhodes looks at how hasty privatization of state-owned telephone companies led to short-term economic windfalls for multinational corporations but long-term instability due to consumer movements or the threat of them. Eventually these governments implemented consumer-friendly regulation as a belated form of damage control. In contrast, governments that privatized through more gradual, democratic processes were able to make credible commitments to their citizens as well as to their multinational investors by including regulatory regimes with consumer protection mechanisms built in. Rhodes illustrates how consumers—previously unacknowledged actors in studies of social movements, market reforms, and democratizations in and beyond Latin America—are indispensable to understanding the political and social implications of these broad global trends.

“This is a significant contribution to the study of social movements and regulatory policymaking in Latin America. It adroitly applies social movement theorizing to a well-known school of thought in social movement theorizing to uncover a new, politically relevant actor on the Latin American landscape: consumer movements.” — Eduardo Silva, coeditor of Organized Business, Economic Change, and Democracy in Latin America

“Sybil Rhodes convincingly demonstrates that consumer groups, a quintessentially ‘pluralist’ rather than ‘corporatist’ form of political participation, are an important component of democratic politics in the more industrialized societies of Latin America today.” — Leslie Elliott Armijo, editor of Debating the Global Financial Architecture

Sybil Rhodes is Assistant Professor of Political Science at Western Michigan University.

NOVEMBER
204 pp
10 tables, 3 figures
$55.00 hc only 0-7914-6597-7
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THE INTERNATIONAL SELF
Psychoanalysis
and the Search for
Israeli-Palestinian Peace
Mira M. Sucharov

*Uses a social-psychoanalytic model to argue that collective identity shapes foreign policy changes.*

The International Self explores an age-old question in international affairs, one that has been particularly pressing in the context of the contemporary Middle East: what leads long-standing adversaries to seek peace? Mira M. Sucharov employs a socio-psychoanalytic model to argue that collective identity ultimately shapes foreign policy and policy change. Specifically, she shows that all states possess a distinctive role-identity that tends to shape behavior in the international realm. When policy deviates too greatly from the established role-identity, the population experiences cognitive dissonance and expresses this through counternarratives—an unconscious representation of what the polity collectively fears in itself—propelling political elites to realign the state’s policy with its identity. Focusing on Israel’s decision to embark on negotiations leading to the 1993 agreement with the Palestinian Liberation Organization (PLO), Sucharov sees this policy reversal as a reaction to the unease generated by two events in the 1980s—the war in Lebanon and the first Palestinian intifada—that contradicted Israelis’ perceptions of their state as a “defensive warrior.” Her argument bridges the fields of conflict resolution, Middle East studies, and international relations.

“Sucharov provides a genuine contribution to contemporary debates in international relations theory. Her application of psychoanalysis to international conflict and peace studies is entirely new and will promote further research in this area.” — Tami Amanda Jacoby, coeditor of Defining Security in the Middle East

Mira M. Sucharov is Assistant Professor of Political Science at Carleton University.

**JULY**
256 pp
1 table
$65.00 hc only 0-7914-6505-5

THE JUDICIARY IN AMERICAN DEMOCRACY
Alexander Bickel, the Counter-Majoritarian Difficulty, and Contemporary Constitutional Theory
Kenneth D. Ward and Cecilia R. Castillo, editors

*Examines recent debates in constitutional theory in light of the work of Alexander Bickel.*

The role courts should play in American democracy has long been contested, fueling debates among citizens who take an active interest in politics. Alexander Bickel made a significant contribution to these debates with his seminal publication, The Least Dangerous Branch, which framed the problem of defending legitimate judicial authority. This book addresses whether or not the counter-majoritarian difficulty outlined in Bickel’s work continues to have significance for constitutional theory almost a half-century later. The contributors illustrate how the counter-majoritarian difficulty and Bickel’s response to it engage prominent theories: the proceduralisms of John Hart Ely and Jeremy Waldron; the republicanisms of Bruce Ackerman and Cass Sunstein; and the originalisms of Raoul Berger, Robert Bork, and Keith Whittington. In so doing, this book provides a useful introduction to recent debates in constitutional theory and also contributes to the broader discussion about the proper role of the courts.

“The Judiciary in American Democracy succeeds at providing a wonderful set of readings that bring Bickel’s work to life and that note his importance to scholarship on judicial review, constitutional interpretation, and, more broadly, the notion of separation of powers in a constitutional system. It shreds the rather simplistic notions that some people have of judicial restraint and judicial activism. This is a significant work on a true giant in the field of constitutional studies, law, and courts.” — Roger E. Hartley, University of Arizona

At Texas State University at San Marcos, Kenneth D. Ward is Associate Professor and Cecilia R. Castillo is Assistant Professor in the Department of Political Science. Castillo is the coeditor (with Kenneth L. Grasso) of Liberty Under Law: American Constitutionalism, Yesterday, Today, and Tomorrow.

**SEPTEMBER**
208 pp
$55.00 hc only 0-7914-6555-1
IN THE NAME OF TERRORISM
Presidents on Political Violence in the Post–World War II Era
Carol K. Winkler

Traces the shifts in presidential discourse on terrorism since World War II.

The topic of terrorism has evolved into an ideological marker of American culture, one that has fundamentally altered the relationship between the three branches of government, between the government and the people, and between America and countries abroad. In the Name of Terrorism describes and analyzes the public communication strategies presidents have deployed to discuss terrorism since the end of World War II. Drawing upon internal administration documents, memoirs, and public papers, Carol K. Winkler uncovers how presidents have capitalized on public perceptions of the terrorist threat, misrepresented actual terrorist events, and used the term “terrorism” to influence electoral outcomes both at home and abroad. Perhaps more importantly, she explains their motivations for doing so, and critically discusses the moral and political implications of the present range of narratives used to present terrorism to the public.

“Winkler demonstrates how presidents craft effective public appeals—through a process of trial and error, and artistry and deceit—that blend ideological and other political motives with continued adaptation to both the stream of events and the rhetorical environment. After reading this work, one can see how the meaning and rhetorical power of ‘terrorism’ has developed over the past several decades through presidential deployment of the term.” — Robert Hariman, author of Political Style: The Artistry of Power

“We can see the different kinds of uses the term ‘terrorism’ has had in presidential rhetoric and how it has developed over time into what the author calls an ‘ideograph of danger.’ One can also see how the current administration’s war on terrorism emerges from and advances the basic trajectory of previous presidential accounts.” — Robert L. Ivie, author of Democracy and America’s War on Terror

Carol K. Winkler is Department Chair and Professor of Communication at Georgia State University. She is the coauthor (with William Newman and David Birdsell) of Lines of Argument for Policy Debate.

A volume in the SUNY series on the Presidency: Contemporary Issues
John Kenneth White, editor

A volume in the SUNY series in the Trajectory of Terror
Louise Richardson and Leonard Weinberg, editors

NOVEMBER
288 pp
9 figures
$65.00 hc only 0-7914-6617-5

CONGRESSIONAL PREEMPTION
Regulatory Federalism
Joseph F. Zimmerman

Examines the use of preemption powers by Congress to completely or partially remove regulatory authority from state and local governments.

Congressional Preemption provides an in-depth account of the use of preemption powers by Congress to either partially or completely remove regulatory authority from state and local governments in a wide variety of fields. Author Joseph F. Zimmerman exposes the inadequacies of the two current theories of United States federalism—dual and cooperative—by exploring the impact of Congress’ frequent use of its preemption powers since 1965. While the dual and cooperative federalism theories retain a degree of explanatory power, Zimmerman considers why they do not explain the profound systemic changes produced by congressional preemption. Other topics covered include congressional use of conditional grants-in-aid, crossover sanctions, tax credits, tax sanctions, and partial and complete redemption; the theory of political safeguards of federalism; and the Blackmun Thesis, which encourages states to seek relief from preemption statutes in Congress and not the courts. The book concludes with postulates of a broader theory of federalism and recommendations addressed to Congress to reinvigorate the federal system.

“Zimmerman is, without a doubt, among the leading scholars of federalism. He provides no less than a brilliant insight into a ‘hidden’ development of federalism: how the federal government has enhanced its position in the overall federal system through its usage of various forms of preemption powers.” — Nelson Wikstrom, coauthor of Metropolitan Government and Governance: Theoretical Perspectives, Empirical Analysis, and the Future

Joseph F. Zimmerman is Professor of Political Science at the University at Albany, State University of New York, and the author of many books, including Interstate Economic Relations, also published by SUNY Press.

AUGUST
288 pp
1 map, 1 table, 4 figures
$70.00 hc only 0-7914-6563-2
GLOBALIZING INTERESTS
Pressure Groups and Denationalization
Edited by Michael Zürn, with assistance from Gregor Walter

Examine how national interest groups respond to the international pressures of globalization.

Globalizing Interests is an innovative study of globalization “from inside,” looking at the reaction of nationally constituted interest groups to challenges produced by the denationalization process. The contributors focus on business associations, trade unions, civil rights organizations, and right-wing populists from Canada, Germany, Great Britain, and the United States, and examine how they have responded to three extremely globalized issue areas: the Internet, migration, and climate change. What they find is that “the politics of denationalization” is a new game with new rules, new teams, and surprisingly broad support for governance beyond the nation state.

“The decision to analyze the responses and strategies of national interest groups to globalization challenges (rather than state strategies or policy effects) fills an important lacuna in the research on globalization and offers important new knowledge on globalization-induced changes in domestic politics. The well-orchestrated comparative analysis produces fresh insights and new hypotheses.” — Frank Schimmelfennig, author of The EU, NATO and the Integration of Europe: Rules and Rhetoric

“This book helps to nuance the debate about political processes under conditions of globalization and does so by providing substantial empirical evidence supported by a sound and innovative theoretical framework.” — Georg Sørensen, author of The Transformation of the State: Beyond the Myth of Retreat

At the Hertie School of Governance in Berlin, Michael Zürn is Founding Dean and Gregor Walter is Head of Curriculum Development. Zürn is also Director at the Science Center Berlin and the coeditor (with Christian Joerges) of Law and Governance in Postnational Europe: Compliance beyond the Nation-State.

A volume in the SUNY series in Global Politics
James N. Rosenau, editor

JULY
368 pp
24 tables, 2 figures
$80.00 hc only 0-7914-6509-8

EDUCATION EMPIRE
The Evolution of an Excellent Suburban School System
Daniel L. Duke

Traces the organizational history of Fairfax County public schools in Virginia, from 1954-2004, revealing the system’s record of academic success.

Despite the fact that more than one-half of the students in the United States are educated in suburban schools, relatively little is known about the development of suburban school systems. Education Empire chronicles the evolution of Virginia’s Fairfax County public schools, the twelfth largest school system in the country and arguably one of the very best. The book focuses on how Fairfax has addressed a variety of challenges, beginning with explosive enrollment growth in the 1950s and continuing with desegregation, enrollment decline, economic uncertainty, demands for special programs, and intense politicization. Today, Fairfax, like many suburbs across the country, looks increasingly like an urban school system, with rising poverty, large numbers of recent immigrants, and constant pressure from an assortment of special interest groups. While many school systems facing similar developments have experienced a drop in performance, Fairfax students continue to raise their achievement. Daniel L. Duke reveals the keys to Fairfax’s remarkable track record.

“The topics of leadership, politics, and change are presented in a manner that does not overwhelm the reader with theory, yet exposes a world of education often hidden from the public view. Duke takes the best of journalism and combines it with scholarship and his own firsthand knowledge of Fairfax to bring the people and events to life, making you want to know what will happen next. While superintendents that I know assign books like Good to Great, Gung Ho!, and Who Moved My Cheese? to their administrators, Duke’s book is far more insightful, interesting, and relevant than many of these bestsellers.” — Ira Bogotch, Florida Atlantic University

Daniel L. Duke is Professor of Educational Leadership and Director of the Thomas Jefferson Center for Educational Design at the University of Virginia. He is the author of many books, including The Challenges of Educational Change.

A volume in the SUNY series, Educational Leadership
Daniel L. Duke, editor

JULY
208 pp
3 tables, 4 figures
$21.95 pb 0-7914-6494-6
$71.50 hc 0-7914-6493-8
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