QUIXOTISM
The Imaginative Denial of Spain’s Loss of Empire
CHRISTOPHER BRITT ARRE Dondo

Exposes the cultural roots of Spanish fascism.

Quixotism explores how a group of Spanish intellectuals, writing during the time of Restoration Spain (1876–1931), incorporated the figure of Don Quixote into an on-going debate on Spanish national and imperial decadence and used this figure to promote a nationalistic and jingoistic formula for national-imperial regeneration. Commonly known as the Generation of ‘98, these writers turned Spain’s military defeat at the hands of an emerging American empire into a moral victory. Christopher Britt Arredondo uses the term Quixotism to denote a premodern heroic ideal centered on the figure of Don Quixote as he explores these writers. Here, he shows how Ganivet turns Quixote into a spiritual conquistador; Unamuno, into a tragic messiah; Maeztu, into a smiling priest; and Ortega, into a paternalistic master. Quixotism is a new critical category of political and cultural relevance, not only for fin-de-siècle Spain and the National-Catholic Spain of the Franco era, but also the democratic, postmodern Spain of today.

“This ambitious book addresses one of the most debated topics in Hispanism—the character and significance of the Generation of ‘98—from a new, thought-provoking angle. Britt Arredondo proposes a new critical category, Quixotism, as a way of identifying a particular cultural response to the national identity crisis following the loss of the final remnants of Spain’s overseas empire.” — Susan Kirkpatrick, author of Las Románticas: Women Writers and Subjectivity in Spain, 1835–1850

Christopher Britt Arredondo is Assistant Professor of Spanish at the George Washington University.

A volume in the SUNY series in Latin American and Iberian Thought and Culture
Jorge J. E. Gracia and Rosemary Geisdorfer Feal, editors

THE WAR THAT WASN’T
Religious Conflict and Compromise in the Common Schools of New York State, 1865–1900
BENJAMIN JUSTICE

An ambitious and timely look at the role of religion in New York State’s early public schools.

Historians of religion and public schooling often focus on conflict and Bible Wars, pitting Catholics and Protestants against one another in palpitating narratives of the embattled development of American public schooling. The War That Wasn’t tells a different story, arguing that in nineteenth-century New York State a civil system of democratic, local control led to adjustments and compromises far more than discord and bitter conflict. In the decades after the Civil War, New Yorkers from rural, one-room schools to big city districts hammered out a variety of ways to reconcile public education and religious diversity. This book recounts their stories in delightful and compelling detail. The common school system of New York State managed to keep the peace during a time of religious and ethnic pluralism, before sweeping educational reforms ended many of these compromises by the turn of the twentieth century.

“Exploiting sources never before consulted, Benjamin Justice explodes a number of long-cherished ‘truths’ regarding religious conflict, local control, and the nature of nineteenth-century common schools. He moves the focus of inquiry from the overheated rhetoric of national discourse to the local level, examining how questions of Bible reading, religious exercises, and public support for sectarian education were acted upon in the thousands of school districts of New York State. His findings are surprising and exciting; they also raise a host of new questions about the many faces of democracy and the forms of social and political life we lost many decades ago. Best of all, Justice commits his iconoclastic revisionism with modesty, humor, and grace.” — Ronald E. Butchart, coeditor of Classroom Discipline in American Schools: Problems and Possibilities for Democratic Education

“Justice provides a nuanced and sophisticated revision of the warfare thesis that has governed writing about religion and public education in nineteenth-century America. In doing so, he avoids the trap of consensus theory by arguing instead that common schools succeeded in mediating religious conflict.” — Gregory L. Kaster, Gustavus Adolphus College

Benjamin Justice is Assistant Professor of Education and History at Rutgers University.
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